

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,954	11	1/04/2003	Kuniaki Mamitsu	01-103-CON2	4321	
23400	7590	12/17/2004		EXAMINER		
POSZ & BETHARDS, PLC 11250 ROGER BACON DRIVE				POTTER, ROY KARL		
SUITE 10	K BACOI	VDRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA	A 20190			2822		

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Succession	MAMITSU ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	an
	Roy K Potter	2822	T'
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the r	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	<i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	. ,		
4) Claim(s) 66 and 67 is/are pending in the app	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>66 and 67</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTC)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		· ·	tage
application from the International Bure	-		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	A\	Almmony (DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	 -	

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

Claims 66 and 67 of this application conflict with claim 66 of Application No. 10/699937. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 66 and 67 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 66 of copending Application No. 10/699837. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

Claims 66 and 67 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 66 and 67 of copending Application No. 10/699954.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Claims 66 and 67 of the present application claim the identical subject matter of claims 66 and 67 of the copending application, first and second heat radiation members made of metallic material that is superior to tungsten and molybdenum in at least one of an electrical and a thermal conductivity.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy K Potter whose telephone number is 571 272 1842. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roy K Potter Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2822